

**REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE  
JOHNSON COUNTY  
SHERIFF**

**For The Year Ended  
December 31, 2023**



**ALLISON BALL  
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
[auditor.ky.gov](http://auditor.ky.gov)**

**209 ST. CLAIR STREET  
FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817  
TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841  
FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912**



## CONTENTS

PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT .....	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS .....	4
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT .....	5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH <i>GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</i> .....	13
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES .....	17

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY



**ALLISON BALL**  
**AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS**

**Independent Auditor's Report**

The Honorable Mark McKenzie, Johnson County Judge/Executive  
The Honorable Scott Hazlette, Johnson County Sheriff  
Members of the Johnson County Fiscal Court

**Report on the Audit of the Financial Statement**

**Opinions**

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the Sheriff of Johnson County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statement.

*Unmodified Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting*

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Johnson County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2023, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws as described in Note 1.

*Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles section of our report, the financial statement does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the Johnson County Sheriff, as of December 31, 2023, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

**Basis for Opinion**

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS), the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* (GAS), issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Program for County Fee Officials* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statement section of our report. We are required to be independent of the Johnson County Sheriff and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



The Honorable Mark McKenzie, Johnson County Judge/Executive  
The Honorable Scott Hazlette, Johnson County Sheriff  
Members of the Johnson County Fiscal Court

### **Basis for Opinion (Continued)**

#### *Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the Johnson County Sheriff on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material and pervasive.

### **Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statement**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Johnson County Sheriff's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

### **Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statement**

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and GAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statement.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and GAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Johnson County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statement.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Johnson County Sheriff's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

The Honorable Mark McKenzie, Johnson County Judge/Executive  
The Honorable Scott Hazlette, Johnson County Sheriff  
Members of the Johnson County Fiscal Court

**Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statement (Continued)**

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we have identified during the audit.

**Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards***

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 17, 2024, on our consideration of the Johnson County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Johnson County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, included herein, which discusses the following report findings:

- 2023-001 The Sheriff's Fourth Quarter Financial Statement Was Materially Misstated
- 2023-002 The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral And Have A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits
- 2023-003 The Sheriff's Office Did Not Have Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,



Allison Ball  
Auditor of Public Accounts  
Frankfort, KY

December 17, 2024

JOHNSON COUNTY  
SCOTT HAZLETTE, SHERIFF  
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2023

Receipts

Federal Grants		\$	93,914
State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund (KLEFPF)			25,862
State Fees For Services:			
Finance and Administration Cabinet	\$	143,182	
Sheriff Security Service		5,163	
Cabinet For Health And Family Services		4,794	
Telecommunications Tax		<u>2,540</u>	155,679
Circuit Court Clerk:			
Fines and Fees Collected		5,189	
Court Ordered Payments		<u>2,870</u>	8,059
Fiscal Court			102,107
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes			49,222
Commission On Taxes Collected			339,357
Fees Collected For Services:			
Auto Inspections		10,845	
Accident and Police Reports		1,519	
Serving Papers		34,673	
Carry Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits		8,540	
Pictures for CCDW		1,240	
Fingerprints		800	
School Resource Officer		29,363	
Transporting Prisoners		<u>2,970</u>	89,950
Other:			
Add-On Fees		29,779	
Miscellaneous		<u>29,831</u>	59,610
Interest Earned			<u>91</u>
Total Receipts			923,851
Less: Statutory Maximum			<u>100,861</u>
Excess Fees			822,990
Less: Training Incentive Benefit			<u>1,246</u>
Excess Fees Due County for 2023			821,744
Payments to Fiscal Court - Various Dates			<u>821,744</u>
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit		\$	<u><u>0</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.



JOHNSON COUNTY  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2023

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount due from the sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.192 requires the sheriff to pay to the governing body of the county any fees, commissions, and other income of his or her office, including income from investments, which exceed the sum of his or her maximum salary as permitted by the Constitution and other reasonable expenses, including compensation of deputies and assistants by March 15 of each year. KRS 64.830 requires an outgoing sheriff to make a final settlement with the fiscal court of his county by March 15 immediately following the expiration of his term of office.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This basis demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Under this regulatory basis of accounting, receipts and disbursements are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed, with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) as of December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2023 services
- Reimbursements for 2023 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2023

The measurement focus of a fee official's financial statement is upon current financial resources. Per KRS 134.192(12), remittance of excess fees is due to the fiscal court when the sheriff makes their final settlement.

C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the sheriff's office to invest in obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

JOHNSON COUNTY  
 NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT  
 December 31, 2023  
 (Continued)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

D. Fee Pooling

The Johnson County Sheriff's office is required by the fiscal court to participate in a fee pooling system. Fee officials who are required to participate in fee pooling deposit all funds collected into their official operating account. The fee official is responsible for paying all amounts collected for others. Residual funds are then paid to the county treasurer on a monthly basis. Invoices are submitted to the county treasurer to document operating expenses. The fiscal court pays all operating expenses for the fee official.

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits

The sheriff's office has elected to participate, pursuant to KRS 78.530, in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), which is administered by the Kentucky Public Pensions Authority (KPPA). This is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan, which covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute.

Nonhazardous

Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute five percent of their salary to the plan. Nonhazardous covered employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, are required to contribute six percent of their salary to be allocated as follows: five percent will go to the member's account and one percent will go to the CERS insurance fund.

In accordance with Senate Bill 2, signed by the Governor on April 4, 2013, plan members who began participating on or after January 1, 2014, were required to contribute to the Cash Balance Plan. The Cash Balance Plan is known as a hybrid plan because it has characteristics of both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan. Members in the plan contribute a set percentage of their salary each month to their own accounts. Nonhazardous covered employees contribute five percent of their annual creditable compensation. Nonhazardous members also contribute one percent to the health insurance fund which is not credited to the member's account and is not refundable. The employer contribution rate is set annually by the CERS Board of Directors based on an actuarial valuation. The employer contributes a set percentage of the member's salary. Each month, when employer contributions are received, an employer pay credit is deposited to the member's account. A member's account is credited with a four percent employer pay credit. The employer pay credit represents a portion of the employer contribution.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Nonhazardous employees who begin participation on or after September 1, 2008, must meet the rule of 87 (member's age plus years of service credit must equal 87, and the member must be a minimum of 57 years of age) or the member is age 65, with a minimum of 60 months service credit.

The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 26.79 percent for the first six months and 23.34 percent for the last six months.

JOHNSON COUNTY  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT  
December 31, 2023  
(Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)

A. Health Insurance Coverage - Tier 1

CERS provides post-retirement health care coverage as follows:

For members participating prior to July 1, 2003, years of service and respective percentages of the maximum contribution are as follows:

<b>Years of Service</b>	<b>% Paid by Insurance Fund</b>	<b>% Paid by Member through Payroll Deduction</b>
20 or more	100%	0%
15-19	75%	25%
10-14	50%	50%
4-9	25%	75%
Less than 4	0%	100%

As a result of House Bill 290 (2004 General Assembly), medical insurance benefits are calculated differently for members who began participation on or after July 1, 2003. Once members reach a minimum vesting period of ten years, non-hazardous employees whose participation began on or after July 1, 2003, earn ten dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually based on the retiree cost of living adjustment, which is updated annually due to changes in the Consumer Price Index.

B. Health Insurance Coverage - Tier 2 and Tier 3 - Nonhazardous

Once members reach a minimum vesting period of 15 years, they earn ten dollars per month for insurance benefits at retirement for every year of earned service without regard to a maximum dollar amount. This dollar amount is subject to adjustment annually by 1.5 percent. This was established for Tier 2 members during the 2008 Special Legislative Session by House Bill 1. During the 2013 Legislative Session, Senate Bill 2 was enacted, creating Tier 3 benefits for members.

The monthly insurance benefit has been increased annually as a 1.5 percent cost of living adjustment (COLA) since July 2003 when the law changed. The annual increase is cumulative and continues to accrue after the member's retirement.

Tier 2 member benefits are covered by KRS 78.5536. Tier 3 members are not covered by the same provisions.

C. Cost of Living Adjustments - Tier 1

The 1996 General Assembly enacted an automatic cost of living adjustment (COLA) provision for all recipients of Kentucky Retirement Systems benefits. During the 2008 Special Session, the General Assembly determined that each July beginning in 2009, retirees who have been receiving a retirement allowance for at least 12 months will receive an automatic COLA of 1.5 percent. The COLA is not a guaranteed benefit. If a retiree has been receiving a benefit for less than 12 months, and a COLA is provided, it will be prorated based on the number of months the recipient has been receiving a benefit.

JOHNSON COUNTY  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT  
December 31, 2023  
(Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System and Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) (Continued)

D. Cost of Living Adjustments - Tier 2 and Tier 3

No COLA is given unless authorized by the legislature with specific criteria. To this point, no COLA has been authorized by the legislature for Tier 2 or Tier 3 members.

E. Death Benefit

If a retired member is receiving a monthly benefit based on at least 48 months of service credit, KRS will pay a \$5,000 death benefit payment to the beneficiary designated by the member specifically for this benefit. Members with multiple accounts are entitled to only one death benefit.

Kentucky Retirement System Annual Financial Report and Proportionate Share Audit Report

Kentucky Retirement System issues a publicly available annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information on CERS. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, KY 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

Kentucky Retirement System also issues proportionate share audit reports for both total pension liability and other post-employment benefits for CERS determined by actuarial valuation as well as each participating county's proportionate share. Both the Schedules of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer and the Schedules of Employer Allocations and OPEB Amounts by Employer reports and the related actuarial tables are available online at <https://kyret.ky.gov>. The complete actuarial valuation report, including all actuarial assumptions and methods, is also available on the website or can be obtained as described in the paragraph above.

Note 3. Deposits

The Johnson County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with federally insured institutions as required by the Department for Local Government's (DLG's) *County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Manual*. The DLG manual strongly recommends perfected pledges of securities covering all public funds except direct federal obligations and funds protected by federal insurance. In order to be perfected in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were not met, because the sheriff did not have a written agreement with the bank.

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Johnson County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of DLG's *County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Manual*. On December 31, 2023, the sheriff's bank balance was exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

- Uncollateralized and Uninsured      \$715,602

JOHNSON COUNTY  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT  
December 31, 2023  
(Continued)

Note 4. Federal Grants

- A. The Johnson County Sheriff's Office received a Highway Safety Grant from the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet passed through from the U.S. Department of Transportation. The sheriff received \$7,580 as reimbursements for the year ended December 31, 2023.
- B. The Johnson County Sheriff's Office received a grant from the U.S. Department of Justice passed through the Mountain Comprehensive Care Center for Domestic Violence Awareness. The sheriff received \$46,177 as reimbursements for the year ended December 31, 2023.
- C. The Johnson County Sheriff's Office received a grant from the U.S. Department of Justice passed through the Mountain Comprehensive Care Center for Domestic Violence Awareness. The sheriff received \$40,157 as reimbursements for the year ended December 31, 2023.

Note 5. On Behalf Payments

The Johnson County Sheriff's Office is required by the fiscal court to participate in a fee pooling system. Since the sheriff is fee pooling, the fiscal court pays the sheriff's statutory maximum and training incentive as reflected on the sheriff's financial statement. For the year ended December 31, 2023, the fiscal court's contributions recognized by the sheriff included the amounts that were based on the statutory maximum as required by KRS 64.5275. The Johnson County Sheriff recognized receipts from the fiscal court and disbursements for the statutory maximum of \$100,861 and training incentive of \$1,246 for the year ended December 31, 2023.

Note 6. Drug Accounts

A. State Forfeiture Account

The Johnson County Sheriff maintained a state forfeiture account consisting of proceeds from the confiscation, surrender, or sale of real and personal property involved in drug related convictions. These funds were to be used for the operation of the Johnson County Sheriff's Office in agreement with court orders. During 2023, receipts of \$1,968 were received from the former sheriff and \$1 of interest was earned. There were no disbursements, leaving a year-end balance of \$1,969.

B. Federal Forfeiture Account

The Johnson County Sheriff maintained a federal forfeiture account consisting of proceeds from the confiscation, surrender, or sale of real and personal property involved in federal drug related convictions. These funds are to be used for the sheriff's office and are not included in the calculation of excess fees. During 2023, receipts totaled \$22,585 and disbursements totaled \$7,875, leaving a remaining balance of \$14,710 at year end.

Note 7. Donation Account

The Johnson County Sheriff's Office maintained a donation account to account for private and public donations to the sheriff's office. The beginning balance in this account as of January 1, 2023, was \$0. During the year, the sheriff received \$15,545 in donations and disbursed \$14,540, leaving a balance of \$1,005 as of December 31, 2023.

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND  
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL  
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY





**ALLISON BALL**  
**AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS**

**Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And  
On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial  
Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards***

**Independent Auditor's Report**

The Honorable Mark McKenzie, Johnson County Judge/Executive  
The Honorable Scott Hazlette, Johnson County Sheriff  
Members of the Johnson County Fiscal Court

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Program for County Fee Officials* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky, the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees - Regulatory Basis of the Johnson County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated December 17, 2024. The Johnson County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the Johnson County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Johnson County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Johnson County Sheriff's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as item 2023-003 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And  
On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial  
Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*  
(Continued)

**Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Johnson County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statement. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as items 2023-001 and 2023-002.

**Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,



Allison Ball  
Auditor of Public Accounts  
Frankfort, KY

December 17, 2024

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

JOHNSON COUNTY  
SCOTT HAZLETTE, SHERIFF  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

For The Year Ended December 31, 2023

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

2023-001 The Sheriff's Fourth Quarter Financial Statement Was Materially Misstated

---

In January of 2000, the Johnson County Fiscal Court adopted an ordinance that required the sheriff to operate under the fee pooling system. By doing so, the sheriff makes twelve monthly payments to the fiscal court for fees collected. The fiscal court is then responsible for budgeting and paying the bills of the sheriff's office. These expenditures are accounted for on the fiscal court's financial statement and not on the sheriff's financial statement. This year, the sheriff started showing expenditures made by the fiscal court on the sheriff's financial statements. By posting these expenditures on his quarterly financial statements, his financial statements were materially misstated.

KRS 68.210 gives the State Local Finance Officer the authority to prescribe a uniform system of accounts. Pursuant to KRS 68.210, the State Local Finance Officer has prescribed minimum accounting and reporting standards in the Department for Local Government's *County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Policy Manual*. This system of accounts requires that fee official quarterly financial report should be submitted by the 30<sup>th</sup> day following the close of each quarter. The manual requires the sheriff to prepare a quarterly report which includes total receipts and total disbursements on a cash basis per line-item category. In addition, good internal control procedures would detect errors in daily posting to ledgers, reducing the risk of misappropriation and inaccurate financial reporting.

KRS 43.075(3) requires that "each person performing an audit shall determine whether ...there is accurate recording of receipts by source and expenditures by payee, and whether or not each official is complying with all other legal requirements relating to the management of public funds by his office[.]"

We recommend the sheriff only post expenditures made by his office on his quarterly financial statements.

*Sheriff's Response: The sheriff did not provide a response.*

2023-002 The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral And Have A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits

---

The sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. As of December 31, 2023, the sheriff had bank deposits of \$965,602 and FDIC insurance of \$250,000, leaving \$715,602 as uncollateralized and uninsured. There was no written agreement between the sheriff and the depository institution, which is signed by both parties, securing the sheriff's interest. The lack of oversight resulted in public funds being uninsured and unsecured.

According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times.

According to federal law, 12 U.S.C.A. § 1823(e), this agreement, in order to be recognized as valid by the FDIC, should be (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

JOHNSON COUNTY  
 SCOTT HAZLETTE, SHERIFF  
 SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES  
 For The Year Ended December 31, 2023  
 (Continued)

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS: (Continued)

2023-002 The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral And Have A Written Agreement To Protect Deposits (Continued)

---

We recommend the sheriff require the depository institution to pledge or provide collateral in an amount sufficient to secure deposits of public funds. In addition, we recommend the sheriff enter into a written agreement with the depository institution to secure the sheriff's interest in the collateral pledged or provided by the depository institution. According to federal law, 12 U.S.C.A. § 1823(e), this agreement, in order to be recognized as valid by the FDIC, should be (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

*Sheriff's Response: The sheriff did not provide a response.*

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

2023-003 The Sheriff's Office Did Not Have Adequate Segregation Of Duties

---

The sheriff's office did not have adequate segregation of duties over receipts, disbursements, and bank reconciliations. The bookkeeper collects payments and prepares the daily checkout sheet, deposits, and monthly tax reports. Upon completion of the monthly tax reports, the bookkeeper prepared and signed checks and performed bank reconciliations. These incompatible duties create a lack of segregation of duties over receipts, disbursements, and the reconciliation process. The sheriff indicated that this inadequate segregation of duties was caused by a limited staffing. By not segregating these duties, there is a risk that undetected errors or fraud could occur.

KRS 46.010(2) requires, "each county treasurer, and each county officer who receives or disburses state funds, to keep an accurate account of receipts and disbursements, showing a daily balance of receipts and disbursements." KRS 46.010(3) requires, "all county officers handling state funds, other than taxes, to make an annual report to the Department for Local Government showing receipts and disbursements, and to make other financial statements as the Department for Local Government requires." The lack of segregation of duties is a basic internal control necessary to ensure the accuracy and reliability of financial reports.

We recommend that if these duties cannot be segregated, the sheriff's office should implement and document compensating controls to help offset this weakness.

*Sheriff's Response: The sheriff did not provide a response.*